## DOCKET NO. NC24603

## **PATENT**

generating a reminder from user input and storing said reminder in the system;

defining a triggering event and storing an indication of said triggering event in the system, wherein said triggering event is an event initiated external to the communication device, the indication of said triggering event and the reminder indexed together, once stored, in the system;

defining an action and storing an indication of said action in the system, the action associated with the reminder and the action, once stored, indexed together with the triggering event and the reminder;

detecting an occurrence of said triggering event; and performing, in response to detecting said triggering event, said action, associated with the reminder, at the communication device.

(Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein said step of generating a reminder comprises generating an audio reminder, and wherein said step of defining an action comprises defining said action as playing said audio reminder.

(Amended) An apparatus for generating a reminder in a communication device or operating in a system, said apparatus comprising:

**DOCKET NO. NC24603** 

PATENT

an input device for receiving an input in the communication device, said input generating a reminder, defining a triggering event to be initiated external to the communication device, and defining an action, wherein said action is to be performed using said reminder upon occurrence of said triggering event;

at least one memory, said memory for storing said reminder, an indication of said triggering event, and an indication of said action in the system, indexed there together; and

a processor in the communication device, coupled to said input device and operable to communicate with said at least one memory, said processor for detecting occurrence of said triggering event and initiating performance of said action on said reminder in the communication device.

## **REMARKS**

In the above-mentioned Office Action, all of the claims, claims 1-22, were rejected.

Claims 1-5, 8, 11-18, 21 and 24-26 were rejected under Section 102(b) over Mizkovisky. Claims 6-7 and 19-20 were rejected over the combination of Mizkovisky and Johnson. Claims 9 and 22 were rejected under Section 103(a) over the combination of Mizkovisky and Villa-Real. And, claims 10 and 23 were rejected under Section 103(a) over the combination of Mizkovisky and Tognazzini. Objection was further made to an informality in claim 12 and objection was made to both the specification and to the drawings for failing to show in the figures, or set forth in the specification, the subject matter of claims 6 and 7.